FILE COPY

Office - Supreme Court,
FILED
FEB 17 1948
CHARLES ELMORE

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1947.

No. 506

A.B.T. MANUFACTURING CORPORATION,
Petitioner,

vs.

NATIONAL SLUG REJECTORS, INC.,
Respondent.

RESPONSE TO PETITION FOR REHEARING.

CLARENCE J. LOFTUS,
Counsel for Respondent.

THE BLAKEMORE-WARREN PRINTING COMPANY, 310 WEST JACKSON, CHICAGO

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1947.

No. 506.

A.B.T. MANUFACTURING CORPORATION,
Petitioner,

vs.

NATIONAL SLUG REJECTORS, INC.,
Respondent.

RESPONSE TO PETITION FOR REHEARING.

To the Honorable the Chief Justice of the United States and the Associate Justices of the Supreme Court of the United States:

The point raised in the petition for rehearing and urged in the petition for certiorari, is without substance or foundation in this record as fully pointed out in our response to the petition for certiorari.

Obviously, the petition for rehearing was filed for the purpose of delay. In fact, counsel doesn't even certify that it was not, as required by the rules.

Respectfully submitted,

CLARENCE J. LOFTUS,
Counsel for Respondent.

FILE COPY

Office - Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED
FEB 17 1948
CHARLES ELMORE CROPLEY
CLERK

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1947.

No. 506

A.B.T. MANUFACTURING CORPORATION,
Petitioner,

vs.

NATIONAL SLUG REJECTORS, INC.,
Respondent.

CERTIFICATION
IN RE PETITION FOR REHEARING
UNDER RULE 33 AS AMENDED OCTOBER 13, 1947.

Re Petition for Writ of Certiorari to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit.

CLARENCE E. THREEDY,
Attorney for Petitioner,
111 West Washington Street,
Chicago, Illinois.

THE BUNTHORP-WARREN PRINTING COMPANY, 210 WEST JACKSON, CHICAGO



IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1947.

No. 506.

A.B.T. MANUFACTURING CORPORATION,
Petitioner,

vs.

NATIONAL SLUG REJECTORS, INC.,
Respondent.

CERTIFICATION
IN RE PETITION FOR REHEARING UNDER RULE 33 AS AMENDED OCTOBER 13, 1947.

Re Petition for Writ of Certiorari to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit.

To the Honorable the Chief Justice of the United States and the Associate Justices of the Supreme Court of the United States:


MAY IT PLEASE YOUR HONORS:

It having been called to the attention of petitioner's counsel that a certificate as required by Rule 33, Paragraph 2, of the Rules of Practice before this court, was omitted from the petition for rehearing filed herein in re petition for writ of certiorari to the United States Circuit Court of

Appeals for the Seventh Circuit, counsel for petitioner hereby certifies that said petition for rehearing was filed in good faith and not for the purpose of delay, and that said petition for rehearing is restricted to the grounds therein stated, particularly that of inviting this court's attention to the case of *Mercoid Corporation* v. *Mid-Continent Investment Company,* 320 U. S. 661, 60 U. S. P. Q. 21 at 24, wherein this court held that *"the public interest is dominant in the patent system."*

Respectfully submitted,

A.B.T. MANUFACTURING CORPORATION,
Petitioner,

By 
CLARENCE E. THREEDY,
Its Attorney.

Chicago, Illinois,
February 16, 1948.